

Date	Event	Description	Key Constituents Involved	Info Source
1994/1995 School Year	Proposal for ILC is reviewed by RVSD board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> District recommends against beginning ILC in Sept 1995 because “critical issues remain unresolved Board votes 4 against 1 to deny the program starting in Sept 1995 ILC submits first proposal to RVSD in 1994 	Sharon Sagar and Julie Quater helped found ILC/MAP	Click here for the timeline of events from 1994 to 1996
Sept 1995 to April 1996	RVSD begins process of reviewing ILC Proposal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternative education committee formed. No existing teachers involved in formation of program – need to hire for ILC 	Sharon Sagar, founder of ILC, and Lee Pollard ILC supporter are RVSD trustees	Click here for the first ILC proposal from Julie Quater
March 23, 1996	Second ILC proposal presented to the RVSD board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal states “The ILC is an open style k-8 district program that we intend to begin as a k5 program and grow to its full grade span over three years” States “no RVSD teacher has come forward at this time, we request the board of trustees approve the hiring of three teachers”. This statement conflicts with the RVC statements that the MAP teachers created and grew this program. 	Sharon Sagar/ Lee Pollard	Click here , for the second ILC proposal from Julie Quater
March 28, 1996	Approval of 3 classroom ILC for Sept 1996	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVSD vote to approve 3 classrooms on March 28, 1996 Board of trustees votes as follows: Sharon Sagar: YES Lee Pollard: YES Susan Sharp: YES John Wright: NO Spencer Sias: NO Teachers write letters of No confidence to RVSD board for approving 3 classroom ILC (instead of 2 classroom) WT Site Council and District wide fundraising group write letters opposing vote Excerpt from the teacher letter states “this decision has caused divisiveness in our community” 	Sharon Sagar/ Lee Pollard	Click here , here , for letters from teachers voting NO confidence in RVSD board. Click here , here and here for WT site council, administrative cabinet and the district wide fundraising group opposing 3 classroom ILC.

April 18, 1996	Approval for 2 classrooms to be located at Manor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remainder of the proposal approved • Third classroom TBD • RVSD superintendent Frank Elliot recommends against 3 classroom ILC program based on cost of program and widespread community opposition, including teachers, administrative staff, site councils, and parents. 	Sharon Sagar/ Lee Pollard	Click here for memo from Frank Elliott
April 1996	ILC/MAP Approved by RVSD and CDE as Alternative Program of Choice	MAP was established as an alternative program of choice under CA State law (Education Code [EC] sections 58500 - 58512). Click here for CA Department of Education (CDE) information on Alternative Schools and Programs of Choice and here for the CDE FAQ	Sharon Sagar/Lee Pollard	
1997-2015	No waivers or reports filed with CDE to renew MAP Alternative Program of Choice status	“Since MAP's inception the CDE required annual renewal of these waivers as well as an annual report of the alternative program's progress. Both our records and those of the CDE indicate the required annual reports have not been filed since 1997.”	Conn/Sharon /Lee Pollard/Trustees	Click here to read the email
January 2001	ILC Re-named MAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiage Program 	Conn/Sharon /Lee Trustees	
Oct 28, 2003	RVSD meeting on Oak Mountain Waldorf Charter School	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharon Sagar and Lee Pollard voted against the recommendation to deny the charter 	Sharon /Lee Trustees	Click here to read the board minutes
March 25, 2004	Public comments about loss of WH principal and tensions at Manor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comments include a statement such as “Tonight I ask the board to level the playing field and fulfill their responsibility to support our teachers, rebuild consensus that once existed at our school and proved the same equal opportunity that you are giving the Multi Age Program”” “Education at Ross Valley is not broken. Governance is broken” 	Conn/Sharon/Lee Trustees	Click here to read the board minutes
12/04/04	Presentation from Cheryl Crawley (RVSD Superintendent), Manor Parents and Manor teachers (School) Ross Valley	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlights lack of inclusion of 75% of participants in decision making • Issues at Manor include racial inequality, recruitment (hand picking children, financial spending inequality (budget for 04/05 revealed MAP teachers receive greater funding), separate MAP fundraising (referenced below in Julie Quater’s email), White Hill transition, logistics of expanded program, expansion contradicts existing Manor site plan, and more details 	Cheryl Crawley, Manor Parents and Manor teachers	Click here to read presentation to RVSD board by RVSD Superintendent and Manor

	Leaders in Education)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issues identified in report from 2004 were never resolved in over 12 years. • Requests answers to questions and changes to program to resolve inequities prior to expansion • Document details tensions on campus as well as states “if the district fails to take the lead and ownership of alternative education in the RVSD, parent will be pitted against parent and existence for everyone at manor will become strained” 		parents and teachers
12/13/04	Letter from Julie Quater (Director of YES) in response to report from report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “ILC nor MAP has ever expanded to White Hill”. Although it may haven’t expanded, K8 expansion is in ILC proposal presented by Julie Quater from 1996 “<i>The ILC is an open style k-8 district program that we intend to begin as a k5 program and grow to its full grade span over three years.</i>” • States “MAP has always raised money to facilitate teacher training the district won’t take on. It’s always an issue that can be negotiated but it was done so as to not cost the district money”. Why do MAP teacher receive training district teachers do not? Why can’t other schools fundraise to train their teachers? Isn’t this in violation of the round table agreement that Julie is in charge of administering • Redacted portion of this letter contain the names of two outspoken opponents of MAP and is an attempt to marginalize the extent of community opposition to the MAP expansion • Evidence of conflict of interest 	Julie Quater	Click here to read letter Click here , for the second ILC proposal that references K-8
Feb 2005	Program expansion approved by RVSD Trustees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A second strand was approved by Trustees despite Manor community opposition • k/1 class added in 2003-04; 2/3 class added in 2004-05; 4/5 class added in 2005-06 • Strong community opposition against expansion of MAP. 	Conn/Sharon/Lee Trustees	Click here for the IJ article about the expansion
Feb 7, 2005	Manor Community Meeting to discuss MAP program expansion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting to address concerns from parents related to the second strand • Questions included whether MAP received additional funding as well as the why parents were required to participate in a conference (“interview”) as a requirement prior to MAP admission • FAQ distributed by RVSD trustees contained information that was later determined to be false (such as the statement “all students will receive equitable funding” which was later proven untrue when investigated by Chris Reynolds. They Reynolds report revealed MAP 	Conn/Sharon/Lee Trustees	Click here to read the FAQ from RVSD trustees. Click

		had its own bank account that contained \$800 at the time of the investigation		
Feb 17 2005	Manor responses to community meeting on Feb 7, 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Per document, answers to be provided by March 10, 2005. • Document concerns from Manor parents related to the second strand, including larger class sizes being implemented in Manor 4/5 vs 20 class size (no changes to sizes) in MAP 4/5 • Inequities between program 	Conn/Sharon/Lee Trustees	Click here to read the document from Manor Feb 7 th community meeting
Jun 2005	Facilitated Manor Workgroup conducted to resolve tensions at Manor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Precipitated by class size inequalities that occurred after the District increased class sizes in the upper grades but MAP did not think it was required to comply with this policy • Enrollment and Class placement issues • Unequal application of district enrollment, PTA, funding etc. policies and social segregation 	Conn/Sharon/Lee Trustees	Click here to read the entire Manor Work Group
May 11 2006	RVSD board meeting to review Manor Work Group findings	<p>The Manor Work Group seeks Ross Valley School District School Board approval of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The recommendations including final agreements and actions developed by the Manor Work Group, • The Manor Work Group recommendation that the School Site Council incorporate the solutions and final agreements into Manor's Single Plan for Student Achievement, and • The Manor Work Group Communications Plan. • Report was unanimously approved but most recommendations were not implemented and inequities at Manor continued 	Conn/Sharon Trustees	Click here to read the entire board meeting
2009-2011	Overcrowding, Redistricting Process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One option considered moving MAP to Hidden Valley but MAP successfully campaigned against it on the basis that MAP and Manor are cooperatively interdependent. • One option suggested making MAP enrollment limited to Manor (at least during overcrowding) but MAP successfully campaigned against that on the grounds that, contrary to the above argument, MAP was an independent District-wide Program not a Manor program. • MAP supporters (Andrea Summits and Kathy Lake) actively campaigned to open Deer Park as a 4th “neighborhood school” – after which it was discovered that their strategy (working with Conn and 	Conn/Sharon Trustees	

		Sharon) was to get the Trustees to approve opening the site and then later petition to convert to a MAP location		
January 2010	Facilities discussion and decision to not open Red Hill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trustees decide not to re-open Red Hill. “Traffic and safety studies conducted at the different possible sites recommended strongly against the Red Hill site.” • “Because the majority of younger students walk, bike or are driven by their parents, it creates a dangerous situation, said Sagar” • “If a child makes a mistake, there’s very little margin for error,” said Sagar. 	Conn/Sharon Trustees	Click here to read the article about the decision to not open Red Hill
June 3, 2010	RVSD facilities meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consolidated decisions for facilities down to 2 options • Removed the following options: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Two Middle Schools 2. White Hill Middle School Configuration - Grades 5-8 3. New elementary site at White Hill Middle School campus 4. School Street site in Fairfax 5. Expand Manor to 500 students • Confirmed final decision would be on June 17th, 2010 		Click here to read the board minutes
June 17, 2010	RVSD facilities meeting decision	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final decision about expanding schools rather than opening additional elementary Deer Park • “Conn Hickey voted in favor of expanding existing schools” 		Click here to read the IJ article about the decision. Click here to read the board minutes
March 3, 2011	Letter from teachers opposing moving MAP to Upper Brookside (named Hidden Valley)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAP teachers state they “are strongly opposed to the idea of moving MAP” • The district needs to re-draw the residence boundaries to insure that there is room to educate all kids in Ross Valley, and some Fairfax families will not be able to go to Manor “ • We as MAP teachers are strongly opposed to the idea of moving MAP for several reasons • Reasons include “The commute would be impacted, making it difficult to bike and walk to school” 		Click here to read the letter from the MAP teachers

March 15, 2011	MAP community first discusses the idea of a charter school	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “I have a dream - of a small, neighborhood charter school (charter would bring in fed funds and potentially grant money to offset admin/overhead costs) at Deer Park” • “Better use of Measure A money” • Decision to expand existing schools had already been made by RVSD 		Click here to read the emails
2009-2011	Social activities integrated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “MAP only” dance party became Manor Family Dance party • Wreath making was opened up to the whole school (although remained a MAP fundraiser for 2 years after it was opened to all as a activity) 		
May 2012	MAP independent budget, fundraising & bank account ended	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Through a Manor PTA- MAP workgroup the MAP independent funding arrangement was ended and a cooperative funding arrangement through the PTA was negotiated (MAP independent bank account had been in the thousands of dollars and ended with about \$800) 	Jason Morrison – MAP Treas, Shelley Hamilton PTA Pres	Personal Notes
2012	Conn/Sharon RVSD Trustee Terms end	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Trustees Elected 		
2013	New Trustees request evaluation of MAP operating structure	<p>Independent legal counsel was retained to review all MAP documentation to determine its status and help define the ongoing “issues”. Findings included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gender balancing is illegal – MAP disagrees • Enrolment should be governed and managed by District not by MAP • Overall Governance and legal status as independent program needed further clarification 		
April 16, 2013	RVSD board meeting to discuss MAP policies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agenda items include gender balancing and governance of MAP • Information regarding compliance with Education Code and District Policy • Clarification regarding roles, responsibilities and decision-making • Steps necessary to achieve compliance <p>Recommendations from Legal Counsel:</p>	RVSD board members/RVSD Legal Counsel	Click here for all the documents included in this meeting includes meetings from 1996, ILC

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In accordance with California Education Code 58510, complete an evaluation of the Multi-Age Program. • In accordance with Title IX, which prohibits enrollment based on gender balancing or consideration of other protected classifications, review MAP's enrollment policy for compliance. Review and define the scope of the MAP Advisory Board's authority. <p>Board Direction:</p> <p>Legal counsel to advise what other issues, if any, may need to be reviewed and updated for compliance with Board policies, state requirements and laws.</p>		proposals, MAP handbook, etc.
May 2013	MAP move to Red Hill discussion begins	Tensions at Manor escalate and the discussion of moving MAP to Red Hill begins		
May 14, 2013	RVSD board meeting – Principals present site plans	Manor Principal identifies inequities in ELL/IEP demographics in MAP and K5 classrooms. Also identifies governance of MAP as problematic for site principal		Click here for the Manor Site Plan
June 3, 2013	RVSD board meeting	Map teachers state sharing a site is problematic and request moving MAP to Red Hill		
June 18, 2013	RVSD board meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agenda items include gender balancing and governance of MAP • Staff directed to begin process of feasibility of moving MAP to Red Hill 		Click here for the meeting notes
June to Nov 2013	Multiple meetings about MAP move to Red Hill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issues with site including CEQA report and traffic • Discussion includes using Prop A funds for upgrade • San Anselmo schools voice opposition to use of Prop A funds for Red Hill when RVSD decision for use bond money was to improve existing schools 		
Dec 2, 2013	RVSD board meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • District reviews all information from staff as well as community input • Votes against moving MAP to Red Hill 		Click here for the folder with documents presented to the board

Jan-Jun 2014	Multiple meetings, workshops, discussions on MAP, Manor, K-5 issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple Board meeting agenda items to understand governance and legal issues • Principal asked to survey Manor and weigh in on site vs district program issues 		Richardson presentation at 2/25/14 Board meeting. To read his letter, click here
Mar 2014	MAP Leadership decides to pursue Charter option	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAP Vision Committee Leadership decide that “dialog to sort out tensions with K-5 is a complete waste of time and not worth pursuing” and instead decide to explore “the viability of MAP becoming a charter school” 	Jason Morrison – MAP Treas, RVC Lead Petitioner	Vision Committee email from Jason Morrison – To read this email click here To read the responses to his email, click here
May 12, 2014	First facilitated meeting at Manor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCOE provides \$15,000 budget for facilitators to resolve MAP/K5 tensions • Very positive respectful meeting • K5 parents encouraged at potential positive outcome 		
May 14, 2014	Jason Richardson Resigns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5th Principle to resign in about 10 years • States in Reynolds report frustration with MAP and governance is the reason for leaving Manor 		
May 15, 2014	K5 representative requests to continue commitment to meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAP leaders stall continuing meetings after Jason’s resignation despite majority of participants willing to continue meeting (email thread sent 5/15/2014) in advance of new Manor Principal • Majority of participants agree to continue meeting 		
June 2014	Final facilitated meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final facilitated meeting without any recommendation from Jason Richardson related to site or district program • MAP teachers mention Charter as possibility 		
Aug 2014	Rick Bagley hired as new Super Intendent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 		
Sep 2014	RVC Submits 1 st “Partial Conversion” Charter Petition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Petition includes Prop 39 request for Manor School classrooms 		Click here to read the petition.

Oct 2014	Reynolds Final Report on MAP discrimination complaint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complaint filed in Aug 2014 Findings: disparate impact, unintentional discrimination, little oversight from District regarding key enrollment policy issues 		Click here to read the entire Reynolds Report
Oct 30, 2014	RVC submits first Prop 39 requests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Charter School requests that the District make available to the Charter School 7 classrooms at MAP’s existing school site, Manor School” Charter School states “clear preference for facilities would be to negotiate a Proposition 39 “in lieu agreement” with the district for Red Hill Prop 39 request includes estimate of \$1.19 million to bring Red Hill up to code for Charter School and requests use of Measure A bond funds Chris Lyons email states Charter School will “ask for Red Hill but settle for Manor” and includes statements that they “only have good intentions and “willingness of the six MAP teachers to resign” 		Click here to read the Prop 39 request Click here to read Chris Lyons email
Nov 4, 2014	RVSD Denies RVC “Partial Conversion” Charter Petition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Petition Denied by RVSD – did not include required signatures (add other key issues) Petitioners do not appeal 		Click here to read the staff recommendation for denial
Nov 18, 2014	RVSD adopts Reynolds Report recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assign all outreach, admission and enrollment procedures for MAP (a District program) to our District’s Student Services Department. Reconstitute the current "MAP Advisory Board", into a district-level advisory committee on alternative programs. Provide written directives to all MAP staff that other than as directed by the Superintendent or his/designee, there are to be no pre-meetings with prospective MAP students or families and all inquiries regarding the program and/or enrollment are to be directed to the Director of Student Services. 		Click here to read the agenda item detailing this decision
Jan-Mar 2015	District tries to assume oversight of MAP enrollment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVSD Asks MAP to terminate independent outreach and marketing via its own website (rvsdmap.org), flyers and preschool recruiting visits – MAP refuses asserting that it’s an independent “parent” driven process not official Parents do presentations to preschools to bypass RVSD directives to teaches and resolve the effects of discrimination by MAP policies 		Click here to see the parent driven website and click here for the flyer distributed by MAP parents directing interested

				parents to email personal MAP parent gmail account
Mar 2015	RVSD acknowledges that MAP is not officially an Alternative Program of Choice per ED Code	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVSD Trustees conclude evaluation of MAP governance and operating structure “Since MAP's inception the CDE required annual renewal of these waivers as well as an annual report of the alternative program's progress. Both our records and those of the CDE indicate the required annual reports have not been filed since 1997.” 		Click here to read the March 18, 2015 letter from the RVSD Superintendent
March 2015	MAP/RVC petitions District to rent St. Rita's for MAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Two Great Schools in Fairfax” advocacy campaign launched, petition to ask RVSD to rent St. Rita's for MAP “Status Quo at Manor not working” 		Click here to read the petition
March 17, 2015	RVSD Board meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVSD board agrees to put a presentation by Kristi Kimball to discuss the rental of St. Rita's on the agenda for April 7th. 		
March 27, 2015	MAP/RVC leaders meet with Father Ken	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email from Father Ken references meeting with Conn Hickey and colleagues Meeting occurs prior to Kristi Kimball's presentation to RVSD and before Dr. Bagley could meet with Father Ken 		
April 7, 2015	RVSD board meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kristi Kimball presents St. Rita's proposal to RVSD The trustees gave direction during that meeting for Dr. Bagley to meet with St. Rita staff as soon as possible to discuss the feasibility of such an arrangement. Father Weare, the St. Rita priest, was on vacation that week, and an appointment was made for a day or two after his return. 		Click here for the PowerPoint presentation
April 15, 2015	Father Ken emails Dr. Bagley	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Before Dr. Bagley and Father Weare had spoken, Father Weare sent an email to Dr. Bagley (who had been unaware of the March 27 meeting) and representatives of the charter thanking them for their interest in renting St. Rita's. Father Ken email states “It was the decision of the Parish Council, I regret to relay to you, that the proposal from the Ross Valley School members no longer be 		Click here to read letter from Father Ken

		considered”		
Jul 2015	RVC Submits 2 nd Charter Petition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Charter’s location provision does not explicitly exclude Manor or waive RVC’s right to file Prop 39. To the contrary. The petition states that <i>“The Charter School plans to rent facilities within the District’s boundaries ... and will explore both private facilities and public school facilities to accommodate its educational program.”</i> (pg 198 RVC Petition) despite marketing and presentation materials that RVC will be a new campus to help “heal” tensions created by having 2 programs share a campus. 		Click here for the RVC petition and click here for the RVC appendix
Aug 2015	RVSD Denies Charter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not realistic budget, lacks sufficient and professional administrative management 		Click here for the RVSD staff denial
8/11/15	RVC Letter to RVSD about not locating at Manor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Will undertake no effort to locate at Manor” 		Click here to read letter
Sept 8, 2015	RVC appeals charter to Marin County Board of Education (MCOE)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC appeals RVSD denial of petition Approximately 40 people made public comment Matter referred to the Charter School Ad Hoc committee of MCOE for review 		Click here to read MCOE agenda and petition
Oct 13, 2015	MCOE Denies Charter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient budget 		Click here to read MCOE denial
1/14/16	SBE Conditionally authorizes RVC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To open in 2016-17 Conditional upon 8 technical amendments 		Click here for the approval letter from SBE
1/19/16	RVSD Board Meeting where MAP is discontinued	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC request to lease Red Hill in 2016-17 for Charter RVSD Trustees vote to discontinue MAP for 2016-17 since MAP’s petition to open as a Charter in 2016-17 was approved by SBE 		Click here to read IJ article about MAP being discontinued
1/19-2/23	RVC engages in open facility conversations about Red Hill with RVSD staff and attorneys	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVSD makes its attorney available to RVC for feasibility and open lease term discussions RVC engages various professionals to conduct Red Hill feasibility analysis 		Click here for Marin IJ article about the meeting with RVSD and RVC

2/23/16	RVSD Trustees Vote for competitive bid lease process and set initial bid requirements	RVC had requested a Prop 39 “in lieu” lease process rather than open bid process.		Click here for the bid package requirements from RVSD
2/25/16	RVSD Letter to Parents requesting information on 2016-2017 attendance	In order to prepare for anticipated changes in 2016-17 attendance patterns due to the opening of RVC, RVSD sends out survey to current families requesting their assistance by providing the district with attendance information. Letter notes that if families leave the district for another school and then change their mind, space will be provided for them in the District but not necessarily at their current school.		M8385371159
2/29/16	RVC Conducts Enrollment Lottery	RVC sends out letter to lottery families advising them that while they have “accepted admission” to RVC they are not yet technically “enrolled” so they can respond to the RVSD survey indicating that they still want to remain “enrolled” in the District.		
3/9/16	RVC Letter to RVSD re: “admission” vs “enrollment”	RVC does not want its “admitted” families to give up their space in RVSD until a site is secured and they are sure of opening, even though this will negatively impact RVSD’s ability to responsibly plan for its 2016-17 attendance.		
Feb-Mar	Multiple meetings and exchanges with RVC, RVSD and Town of San Anselmo	Focus of communication on CEQA, zoning, and decision making authority for approval of construction		
3/10/16	RVSD Releases Bid Package	2 Bidder site walk-throughs		
Apr 2016	RVC submits material revisions and time extension	As we’re all focused on the Red Hill issue, RVC quietly and without public meetings, is working with CDE on getting its charter approval extended to the 2017-18 school year		Click here for letter to CDE
4/11/16	Bids Due and opened next day	Two Bidders – Caulbridge School and RVC		Click here for the Caulbridge Bid. Click here for the RVC bid and

				here for the RVC terms
4/19/16	RVSD rejects RVC bid	RVC bid rejected based on substantial liability to RVSD including “rent credit” for all repairs to Red Hill, failure to release financials, etc.		Click here for a Marin IJ article about the bid rejection
Jun 2016	RVC submits second bid	Still not compliant with RVSD proposed terms		
June 9, 2016	RVSD makes third and final Red Hill Offer to RVC	RVSD Trustees consider RVC’s second, non-conforming Red Hill Bid offer and make final counter offer.		Click here for the RVSD board meeting notes
June 14, 2016	RVC rejects RVSD Final Offer for Red Hill			Click here for the Marin IJ article about RVC rejecting RVSD final offer. Click here for the RVSD response to RVC rejection of bid
11/1/16	RVC Files Prop 39 Facility Request			Click here for the Prop 39 Request
11/16/16	RVSD Letter to parents requesting enrollment update			Click here for the email from RVSD
11/17/16	RVC threatens legal action against RVSD	Against RVSD letter to parents informing them of need to plan for 2017-18 enrollment		Click here for the follow up email from RVSD about enrollment and the legal action.

11/17/16	RVC takes legal action against RVSD	RVC files injunction against the RVSD letter stating the letter is an “attempt to scare parents away from RVC”		Click here for the injunction filed by RVC
11/21/16	RVC injunction dismissed by Marin County Superior Court			Click here for the email from RVSD about the court action
11/30/2016	RVSD Accepts RVC enrollment projections for Prop 39 request			Click here for the RVSD letter
12/13/16	RVSD Meeting to discuss Prop 39 location	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial proposal is to give RVC 6th grade classrooms at White Hill RVC continues to advocate for Red Hill at meeting and/or Manor/Brookside 		Click here for the RVSD board agenda item
1/10/17	RVSD Meeting to discuss Prop 39 facility offer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC to be given 6th grade classrooms at White Hill Proposal includes idea of different “academies” for 6th grade housed at each elementary school Options include housing RVSD 6th grade in 7/8 building and Charter School at White Hill 		Click here for the RVSD facilities presentation
1/17/17	RVSD repeat of Prop 39 facilities offer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> White Hill staff states concerns about housing RVC and 6th graders at WH 		
2/1/17	RVSD offers RVC classrooms at White Hill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC given 8 classrooms at White Hill in the 6th grade building Offer includes 20% of outdoor space and gym 		Click here for the RVSD preliminary offer
2/12/17	RVC email to RVSD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC President Sharon Sagar sends email to RVSD Superintendent Dr. Bagley prior to board meeting requesting clarification of classroom allocation method for WH classrooms as well as class size determination method 		Click here for RVC’s letter to Dr. Bagley
2/13/17	RVC board meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC board meets in closed session with legal counsel to discuss litigation prior to RVSD response to email Prop 39 request not discussed in public session 		Click here for the RVC agenda
2/14/17	Dr. Bagley responds to RVC email	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dr. Bagley states class sizes policy for RVSD was changed in Nov 2016 and RVSD used current classroom ratios to determine allocation 		Click here for Dr. Bagley’s response letter

2/27/17	RVC email to RVSD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> States RVSD has failed to provide “basic information” and “It is disappointing that we must ask, again, for the most basic information in order to evaluate your proposal for space. 		Click here for the RVC response letter
3/1/17	RVC responds to RVSD offer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC response includes request for more indoor and outdoor space RVC response states RVSD has “not met the legal requirements of Prop 39” and its request for more space “is conservative and represents RVC’s desire to be good neighbors including its willingness to sacrifice by opening its school with fewer students than originally planned” 		Click here for the RVC response letter
3/8/17	RVC lottery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RVC reduces enrollment target from 220 to 176 MAP enrollment was 130 so RVC will serve 46 additional children 8 classrooms provided by RVSD per Prop 39 could house 204 children based on RVSD class size ratios. RVC attachments indicates lower class sizes for 4th and 5th grade than RVSD classrooms policy (28 vs. 30) Children admitted in 2016 rolled over to 2017 school year and include 27 out of district kids 13 In district children on waitlist displaced by out of district children in all grades except TK/K 		Click here for the handout distributed by RVC
3/22/17	RVSD responds to RVC counter offer requesting more space and provides final offer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The District notes that RVC has made public comments concerning its need to reduce enrollment due to a purported under allocation of space. As stated above and in more detail below, the District accepted RVC’s projections without modification and allocated space at the same rate of allocation provided to students attending District schools. If RVC intends to reduce its enrollment/ADA, this is not a consequence of the District’s offer as the Final Offer provides space commensurate with the District comparison schools. Charter School is responsible for any reimbursement penalties resulting from over allocated space. The District will hold Charter School accountable for any such over allocation penalty in the 2017-18 school year. Charter School has exclusive use of the facilities from 7:00 am to 6:00 pm 		Click here for the response letter from RVSD

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • District includes 20 additional hours for Charter School use of multipurpose room outside of school hours • The District does note that the Charter School’s calendar and charter do not provide for summer program and this allocation is for the educational year reflected in the Charter School calendar only. • District does not agree to 2 additional pull out rooms • District willing to consider installation of playground structure at Charter School’s expense but will require an additional Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) (not included in Prop 39) • Facilities will be available to Charter School no later than August 10, 2017 		
4/12/17	RVC response to RVSD final offer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charter School accepts space but does NOT accept the facilities use agreement • Charter School “takes this opportunity to request the use of student work tables instead of desks” despite having over \$8500 budgeted for classroom furniture in its federal grant • Charter School also asks for “projection equipment and all corresponding equipment used to connect to the internet” despite having \$20,000 budgeted for networking equipment in its federal grant 		<p>Click here for the response letter from RVC</p> <p>Click here for the grant budget submitted by RVC</p>
4/17/17	RVC response to RVSD facilities agreement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charter School pro bono lawyers respond with extensive redline of facilities agreement • Modifications include (and many additional items): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Charter school refusal to provide proof of residency for in district students on a monthly basis ○ Charter school requests exclusive use of the facility at any time (which would increase RVSD utility expenses and liability costs) ○ Charter School does not want to follow district policy to use space and instead requests RVSD to schedule use of its own facilities ○ Charter school does not want to be in breach of contract for any actions that are in breach of contract • Charter School is not allowed to occupy space until facilities use agreement is signed 		<p>Click here for the facilities use document from RVC</p>